The history of prepositions in Mojeño Trinitario

Françoise ROSE
francoise.rose@cnrs.fr
Dynamique Du Langage (CNRS, Université de Lyon)

Seminario: Diacronía y adposiciones: origen y evolución
Universidad de Sonora, November 14, 2019

1. Preliminaries

1.1. The history of adpositions

• A universal functional category, not necessarily realized by a structural category in individual languages (DeLancey, 2005)
• Origin: frequently grammaticalized from either transitive verbs or relational nouns (Hagège, 2010; Lehmann, 2002)
• Evolution: may develop into case (Lehmann, 2002) or subordinators (Genetti, 1991; Rose, 2013a)

1.2. Mojeño Trinitario

• Mojeño (Arawak, lowland Bolivia)
  o Vehicular language of the Jesuit missions, 17th and 18th centuries (Marbán, 1702)
  o Trinitario, Ignaciano, Javeriano and Loretano dialects (Rose, 2010)
• Old Mojeño: described in Marbán’s Arte, and illustrated in a catechism (Marbán, 1702)
• Mojeño Trinitario
  o Previous descriptions (Gill, 1957)
  o Textual database (~ 8h) collected in the field since 2005 https://www.ortolang.fr
  o Isolated sentences collected by stimuli (~2h)
  o Elicited sentences (4900)

1.3. Adpositions in Arawak languages

• No adpositions have been reconstructed for Proto-Arawak, only case suffixes
• “Inalienably possessed nouns often function as adpositions, the adpositional argument being cross-referenced with A/Sa/Possessor prefixes.” (Aikhenvald, 1999)
• Danielsen (2012) : the Arawak split
  o Adpositions/cases on noun phrases in North Arawak
  o Valency-changing in verbs in South Arawak

This talk:
Mojeño Trinitario has one central ‘universal’ preposition
Nominal origin of the preposition
Development into a subordinator
1.4. Overview of the talk

2. Language overview
   • Agglutinating language with a rich morphology
   • Large number of suffix and enclitic slots and fewer prefix slots, especially on verbs (1)

(1) \( \text{wi=po} \ n-a-k-uch-ku'-'vi=yr'e. \)
   \( \text{NEG=PFV} \ 1\text{SG-IRR-CAUS-go_out-CLF-ACT-2SG=FUT} \)
   ‘I will not take you out of it.’ T8.040

• Generalized allomorphy
  o due to a rich system of morphophonemic rules
  o and a pervasive rhythmic syncope process (Rose, 2019b): every weak vowel (except the final one) is targeted by syncope (but only about half of those do syncopate)

(2) \( /su-jusVti-piçenu-ʧo=jore/ \)
   \( su \ ju \ sV \ ti \ pi \ çe \ nu \ ʧo \ jo \ re \)
   \( (. \ x) \ (. \ x) \ (. \ x) \ (. \ x) \ . \)
   \( s_\_ \ ju \ s_\_ \ ti \ p_\_ \ çe \ n_\_ \ ʧo \ j_\_ \ re \)
   [sjus.tip.çen.ʧoj.re]
   ‘She is going to cut (them) at the neck.’

• Parts-of-speech:
  o Both nouns and verbs can be used as arguments and predicates without overt marking, but nouns are most often used as arguments, and verbs as predicates.
  o Morphological combinatorics as a defining criterion: person markers

Nouns: prefixes for possessors (3) or suffixes for the sole argument
of nominal predicates (4)
Transitive verbs (5): prefixes (for A) and suffixes (for O).
Intransitive verbs (6): prefixes only (for S).
(3) **n-owsa**  
1SG-village  
‘my village’

(5) **n-echji-ko’e**  
1SG-talk.to-ACT-2PL  
‘I am talking to you’.

(4) **’jiro-nu=po**  
man-1SG=PFV  
‘I was a man then’.

(6) **n-ute-k=po**  
1SG-come-ACT=PFV  
‘I just came’.

Table 1. Paradigm of person markers (Rose, 2015 for more details on the paradigm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prefixes (Poss, A, Sa, Sp)</th>
<th>Suffixes (P, argument of nonverbal predicate)</th>
<th>Pronouns</th>
<th>Demonstrative formatives</th>
<th>Articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>n-</td>
<td>-nu</td>
<td>nuti</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>py- (~ p- )</td>
<td>-vi</td>
<td>piti</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>vy- (~ v- )</td>
<td>-(wok)ovi</td>
<td>viti</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>a-</td>
<td>’e</td>
<td>eti</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3M(SG.H) speaker O</td>
<td>ma- (~ mu-, m-)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ema ma</td>
<td>ma</td>
<td>ma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3M(SG.H) speaker Q</td>
<td>níi- (~ ŋ-)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ŋi ŋi</td>
<td>ŋi</td>
<td>ŋi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3F(SG.H)</td>
<td>s-</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>su su</td>
<td>su</td>
<td>su</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL(H)</td>
<td>na- (~ n-)</td>
<td>-woko (3PL)</td>
<td>eno no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3NH(SG/PL)</td>
<td>ta- (~ t-)</td>
<td></td>
<td>eto ma (PL)</td>
<td>ma (PL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ty- (~ t-) on verbs; -ono (3PL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The basic constituent order is AVO in transitive clauses (7), and VS in intransitive clauses (8). Arguments are optional and not case-marked.

(7) **ene takepo** [ma t-siso ‘chane]ₐ ma-m=po [ma ‘chane]ₒ.  
and then ART.M 3-black person 3M-take=PFV ART.M person  
‘And then the black man took the man’. T8.045

(8) **ene ty-ute-k=pu=iji** [ema ma viya t-ekie-ri-k=ri’i]ₙ.  
and 3-come-ACT=PFV=RPT PRO.M ART.M man 3-transform-PLURACT-ACT=IPFV  
‘Then came the man who transforms people.’ T6.003

- Many valency-changing devices, among which 3 applicative markers (9)-(11), and an applicative construction based on classifiers (Rose, 2019a)
  - Possible grammaticalization path from cases/adpositions to applicative
  - Another grammaticalization path: from oblique classifiers (12) to applicative (13)
(9)  \textit{n-wachri-ri-s-no} \hspace{1em} \textit{su} \hspace{1em} \textit{meme}  \\
1SG-buy-PLURACT-ACT-\textit{APPL} \hspace{1em} ART.F \hspace{1em} 1SG.mother  \\
‘I went shopping for my mother.’ elicited

(10)  \textit{Calixta} \hspace{1em} \textit{s-iso-’u-cho} \hspace{1em} \textit{to} \hspace{1em} \textit{’santi} \hspace{1em} \textit{to} \hspace{1em} \textit{sukri-ono}  \\
Calixta \hspace{1em} 3F-weed-\textit{APPL-ACT} \hspace{1em} ART.NH \hspace{1em} field \hspace{1em} ART.NH \hspace{1em} plantation-PL  \\
‘Calixta weeded the field for the plantations.’ elicited

(11)  \textit{p-jo-ka} \hspace{1em} \textit{kaecha} \hspace{1em} \textit{n-is-iyo} \hspace{1em} \textit{p-jo} \hspace{1em} \textit{n-ésane}  \\
DEM-NH.SG-PROX \hspace{1em} shovel \hspace{1em} 1SG-weed-\textit{APPL} \hspace{1em} DEM-NH.SG \hspace{1em} 1SG-field  \\
‘With this shovel, I weed my field.’ elicited

(12)  \textit{t-eja-me-re-ko} \hspace{1em} \textit{t-e} \hspace{1em} \textit{pjo} \hspace{1em} \textit{ñi-ye’e} \hspace{1em} \textit{estera}  \\
3-sit-CLF:fabric-PLURACT-ACT \hspace{1em} 3NH-PREP \hspace{1em} DEM \hspace{1em} 3M-GPN \hspace{1em} mat  \\
‘The man is sitting on his mat.’ Path_S_51

(13)  \textit{a.} \hspace{1em} \textit{to} \hspace{1em} \textit{kwoyu} \hspace{1em} \textit{ty-ow-’o} \hspace{1em} \textit{t-e} \hspace{1em} \textit{to} \hspace{1em} \textit{’une}  \\
ART.NH \hspace{1em} horse \hspace{1em} 3-be-ACT \hspace{1em} 3NH-PREP \hspace{1em} ART.NH \hspace{1em} water  \\
‘The horse is in the water.’ elicited

\textit{b.} \hspace{1em} \textit{to} \hspace{1em} \textit{kwoyu} \hspace{1em} \textit{t(a)-ow-e-ko} \hspace{1em} \textit{to} \hspace{1em} \textit{’une}  \\
ART.NH \hspace{1em} horse \hspace{1em} 3NH-be-CLF:liquid-ACT \hspace{1em} ART.NH \hspace{1em} water  \\
‘The horse is in the water.’ elicited

• Referential noun phrases are always introduced by a determiner (article (13) or demonstrative (11)), while non-referential noun phrases and nominal predicates are not.

• 3 classes of nouns with respect to possession:
  o those that require a possessive prefix (a.k.a. inalienable, obligatorily possessed or relational);
  o those that may take a possessive prefix (a.k.a. alienable, or optionally possessed);
  o those that cannot take a possessive prefix (a.k.a. absolute or non-directly possessible).

  o For obligatorily and optionally possessed nouns : Possr-\textit{POSSESSEE} \hspace{1em} Possr-\textit{POSSESSOR} (14).

(14)  \textit{Noe} \hspace{1em} \textit{s-apijane} \hspace{1em} \textit{su} \hspace{1em} \textit{meme}  \\
Noe \hspace{1em} 3F-last_name \hspace{1em} ART.F \hspace{1em} mother.1SG  \\
‘Noe is my mother's last name.’ T9.003
3. Adpositions in Mojeño Trinitario

- Pervasive, polysemous preposition  e ~ ye’e
- More complex structures with  te + N
- One emergent preposition from a verb

3.1. The universal preposition  e ~ ye’e (synchronic perspective)

- A separate word
- Preceding its object NP
- Taking a person prefix, which agrees with its object

(15) na-esta-k=ri’i  t-e  to  chkote.

3PL-whip-Act=IPFV 3NH-PREP  ART.NH whip

‘They were whipping him with the whip.’ T2.039

(16) juiti  v-yon=ñore  v-echjirikwo=yre  na-e  p-no-kro

today 1PL-go=FUT 1PL-talk=FUT 3PL-PREP  DEM-H.PL-POT.LOC

‘Today we are going to talk with these (the rainbows).’ T24.087

= an inflected preposition, like in Welsch, Irish, Breton (Jaworska, 1999)

Table 2. Paradigm of the inflected preposition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preposition forms</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Agreement category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>te</td>
<td>ta-e</td>
<td>non-human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nae</td>
<td>na-e</td>
<td>human plural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>se</td>
<td>su-e</td>
<td>feminine singular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mue</td>
<td>ma-e</td>
<td>masculine singular (male speaker)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ñe</td>
<td>ñi-e</td>
<td>masculine singular (female speaker)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Specificities of  te non-human form
  - Most frequent form (used with abstract noun phrases and propositional content, see section 5).
  - Morphologically complex  ta-e 3NH-PREP but obligatory phonological simplification in  te (*tae)

- Conveys various meanings (not only spatial ones): in, to, from, with, for,…

(17) ema  t-nara-ko=o’i  t-e  to  ma-peno.

PRO.M 3-rest-Act=IPFV 3NH-PREP ART.NH 3M-house

‘He was resting in his house.’ T6.048
So we got out from the church and went to our town council. 'We are going to ask a favor to this Lady.'

- The form e is in complementary distribution with a longer allomorph ye'e
  - ye'e used when the object of the preposition is pronominal
  - its 'object' is encoded in the person prefix

Today we are going to talk with these (the rainbows).'

Today I am going to talk with you.'

'You are happy to visit (PREP) our house at this time.'

This evening, you came to visit (PREP) us, Lady.'

'In the end, we arrived, it was already night time when we arrived there (lit. to it).'
Table 3. Morphosyntactic distribution of the two allomorphs e ~ ye’e of the preposition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person</th>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>PREP + NP</th>
<th>PREP + Ø</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; person singular</td>
<td>n-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; singular</td>
<td>pi-</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; plural</td>
<td>vi-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; plural</td>
<td>a-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; non-human</td>
<td>ta-</td>
<td>ye’e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; human plural</td>
<td>na-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; feminine singular</td>
<td>s-</td>
<td>e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; masculine singular (male speaker)</td>
<td>ma-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; masculine singular (female speaker)</td>
<td>ŋ-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- A third form: the e allomorph has a phonetic realization with a final glottal stop
  - No conditioning
  - Does not fit the regular prosodic word template (no final consonant)

(23) *Ene v-ki'j'e-yre ene vi-uumut-yore-poo'i t-e' to comunidade.*

‘There we are going to go and we are going to have meetings in the communities.’

T32.006

- Can be semantically specified by classifiers classifying obliques (Rose, 2019a)

(24) a. *t-junopo=po t-e to smeno*

3-run=PFV 3NH-PREP ART.NH woods

‘S/he ran to/in/from the woods.’ T11.018

b. *t-junpo-je-cho t-e to smeno*

3-run-CLF:interior-ACT 3NH-PREP ART.NH woods

‘S/he ran inside the woods.’ elicited

- Can take TAME clitics

TAME morphology is found on all major parts of speech, when used as predicates, but also (though more rarely) on non-predicative elements (Rose, 2017).

(25) *porke ema=ri'i=ni t-e'=ripo… t-e to… t-e kalavoso*

because 3M=IPFV=PAST 3NH-PREP=PFV 3NH-PREP ART.NH 3NH-PREP cell

‘Because he is in… in a... in jail.’ T40.152
3.2. Locative relational nouns

- Complex prepositional expressions, based on: te (DET) 3-N NP
  - Intermediary noun is a relational noun

-ina’u ‘top of’  
-miro’u ‘front of’ < -miro ‘face’
-ju’e ‘stomach, interior’  
-mópeku ‘below’
-giñoku ‘corner’ < -giño ‘ear’  
-cheyo ‘lip, edge’
-mueku ‘behind’

- Construction based on the genitive construction
- Relational noun is not modifiable, often without determiner (nonreferential)

(26) ty-okchu-ri-k=ri’i p-jo perota
    3-stamp-PLURACT-ACT=IPFV DEM-NH.SG ball
    t-e p-jo ta-miro’u p-jo ‘chope smeno
    3NH-PREP DEM-NH.SG 3NH-face DEM-NH.SG big forest
    ‘The man plays with the woman, he kicks the ball in front of the big forest.’ Path_S_32

(27) ene p-ñi pona ty-uch-ko-pri’i
    and DEM-M other 3-exit-ACT-CONC.MOT
    t-e ta-mópeku to mari
    3NH-PREP 3NH-below ART.NH stone
    ‘He is standing on the round stones, and the other one is going out from under the stone.’ Path_C_53

3.3. Similarity preposition (section taken from Rose, 2019c)

- kuti root with 4 different distributions and functions

- A similarity verb ‘to look like, to resemble’

(28) v-kuti=richu j-ma-ni pak-chicha-no.
    1PL-be_like=RESTR DEM-NH.PL-PROX dog-EMP-PL
    ‘We are just like these poor dogs.’ T10.031

- A similarity preposition ‘like’

(29) eto ma-nok=pó to ta-em’i=i’i ma ‘chane=ri’i,
    PRO.NH 3M-make=PFV ART.NH 3NH-shape=PFV ART.M human=IPFV
    kuti j-ma-ni estatua.
    like DEM-NH.PL-PROX statue
    ‘From this he made the shape of a human being, like these statues.’ T6.043
• A subordinator ‘as if’

(30)  

\[
\begin{align*}
esu & \ p-su-ka & \ 'seno & \ p-yenoe=yore & \ p-echpojri-i=yore \\
\text{PRO.F} & \text{DEM-F-PROX} & \text{woman} & \text{2SG-wife=FUT} & \text{2SG-take_care-PLURACT=FUT} \\
\textit{kuti} & \ s-itswo=yre & \ esu & \ p-p\text{-p}r\text{a}pe.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{as\_if} & \ 3\text{F-change=FUT} & \text{PRO.F} & \ 2\text{SG-sibling}
\]

‘This woman will be your wife, you will take care of her, as if she was becoming your older sister.’ T19.123

• An epistemic marker ‘it seems’

(31)  

\[
\begin{align*}
\textit{kuti} & \ no-k\text{-}ojo=o'i & \ 'cha\text{-}ono & \ t-e & \ p-ju-en\text{a} & \ m-peno' \\
\text{it\_seems} & \ H.PL-NVIS-EXI=IPFV & \text{person-PL} & \ 3\text{NH-PREP} & \text{DEM-NH.SG-DIST} & \text{1SG-house}
\end{align*}
\]

‘It seems there is someone in my house’. T18.025

• Diachronic hypothesis

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{similarity verb} & \rightarrow \text{similarity preposition} & \rightarrow \text{epistemic marker} \\
? & \rightarrow \text{similarity subordinator}
\end{align*}
\]

*Figure 1. Developments of the similarity verb*
4. The origin of the Mojeño Trinitario preposition e ~ ye’e

**GENERAL DIACHRONIC HYPOTHESIS:**
the preposition e ~ ye’e developed from a noun ye’e

**Diachronic hypothesis 1. The allomorph e has been reduced from ye’e.**

- Phonological arguments for ye’e → e
  - deletion of final e
  - deletion of y
  - deletion of glottal stop
  - deletion of /a/ of *ta-ye’e

  o Rhythmic syncope (Rose, 2019b)
    - Reconstructible as a diachronic process (Carvalho & Rose, 2018)
    - Targets the initial vowel, but the vowel of the prefix ta- is always opaque to syncope (underapplication of syncope)

(32) ene take’e’i
    ene ta-ke=ri’i
    DEM 3NH-be,so-IPFV
    ‘it is like that’ T2.025

Reduction of *ta-ye’e in te is independent from the syncope process.

  o Apocope found in natural speech in non-final position within a prosodic phrase.

(33) [en te pjue mesa]
    ene t-e pV-jo-e mesa
    DEM 3NH-PREP DEM-NH-DIST table
    ‘there on the table’ Loc_L_01

Apocope could explain deletion of final /e/ in *-ye’e when an NP follows.

  o Diphthong ae [ae] resulting from a+e at morpheme boundaries is optionally reduced as [ɛ] or [e]

(34) [næno] ~ [næno]
    na-en o
    3PL-mother
    ‘their mother’

(35) [tyanekjore]
    ti-an-e-ko=jo re
    3-cross-CLF:liquid-ACT=FUT
    ‘s/he will cross the water’

If /y/ was deleted or transparent for some hiatus resolution, diphthongization could explain the simplification in /e/.
Intervocalic glottal stop deletes in synchrony when hiatus resolution applies over the glottal stop (in contexts where it is less stressed).

(36) \(ẽe MATCHES\) ta\(\dot{e}IRE\)

ta\(\dot{e}IRE\) re

earring-NPSD

‘earring’

This shows that glottal stop is unstable.

- The realization of the preposition with a final glottal stop as in (37) could be a trace of the full ye’e form

(37) ene t-yom=pu=iji \(\tilde{n}-e’\) \(\tilde{n}\) Maati.

and 2-go=PFV=RPT 3M-PREP ART.M Martin

‘So she went to (the) Martin.’ T35.05

Not every aspect of the phonetic reduction can be explained, but it seems very likely.

- Morphosyntactic arguments:
  - Rare instances of ye’e as a preposition before a full NP

(38) t-echjirikwo=m=po eno, eno trinrano-no

3-talk-PL=PFV PRO.3PL PRO.3PL trinitario-PL

ene na… na-ye’e no ‘o’i-ono

and HES 3PL-PREP ART.PL rainbow-PL

‘They started to talk, the Trinitario people, and with… with the rainbows.’ T24.104

- Only the ye’e allomorph of the preposition is attested in Old Mojeño (Marbán, 1702).
  - « prepositions » that follow the noun, like the « ablative » -cu (case suffixes)
  - ‘General noun’ -yee used as pronominal pronoun (‘mine’, ‘yours’…. serves to express prepositions (Marbán, 1702: 10-11).
  - No mention of a form te

(39) Old Mojeño (Marbán, 1702: 5, 9)

a. ti-yapo=pó ta-ye’e 1 anumo-cù

3-ascend=PFV 3NH-PREP sky-LOC

‘He ascended into heaven.’ (Sp. Subio a los Cielos.)

b. b-imetourui-qui-a=po ma-ye’e Sacerdote

1PL-confess-FACT-IRR=PFV 3M-PREP priest

‘We have to confess to the priest.’ (Sp. (hemos de) confesarnos con el Sacerdote)

- The form ye’e is used in synchrony in a formally very similar construction 1/2/3-
ye’e (NP), a sub-type of the genitive construction (see below)

\(^1\) Note that Marban systematically omitted the glottal stop in his transcriptions.
Diachronic hypothesis 2: The form ye’e was originally a noun.

- Morphology of e ~ ye’e could be of either verbal or nominal origin:
  - Prefixes on e ~ ye’e
    = possessor on possessed nouns
    = subjects on transitive verbs with a third person object (see Table 1 and Rose (2011))
  - TAME clitics: same as on verbs and nouns

\[\text{(40)}\]
\[
n(a)-\text{omo} \quad t-e = \text{rich’o} \quad \text{na-ji’u}
\]
\[
3\text{PL-carry} \quad 3\text{NH-PREP=still} \quad 3\text{PL-shoulders}
\]
‘They were still carrying it with their shoulders.’ T25.020

\[\text{(41)}\]
\[
t-iimui-ko-n = \text{rich’o}
\]
3-dance-ACT-PL=still
‘They still dance’

\[\text{(42)}\]
\[
kope-ni \quad t-e \quad \text{to} \quad \text{chkote-mu’}=\text{rich’o},…
\]
\[
past.day-PAST \quad 3\text{NH-PREP} \quad \text{ART.NH} \quad \text{whip-CLF:setting=still}
\]
‘In the old days, still in the time of the whip,…’ T2.045

- Syntactic arguments:
  - Prepositional phrase // genitive phrase with inalienable head (43), (44)

\[\text{(43)}\] a. \[s-apijane \quad \text{su} \quad \text{meme}\]
\[
3\text{F-last.name} \quad \text{ART.F} \quad \text{mother.1sg}
\]
‘the last name of my Mom’ T9.003

\[\text{(44)}\] a. \[\text{to} \quad \text{ta-kunara’i} \quad \text{to} \quad \text{koje}\]
\[
\text{ART.NH} \quad \text{3NH-shadow} \quad \text{ART.NH} \quad \text{moon}
\]
‘the reflection of the moon’ T6.017

\[\text{(44)}\] b. \[t-e \quad \text{to} \quad \text{vkugi}\]
\[
3\text{NH-PREP} \quad \text{ART.NH} \quad \text{tree}
\]
‘in the tree’ T35.070

  - Homophonous form ye’e, a generic relational noun used in genitive constructions of non-directly possessible nouns.

\[\text{(45)}\]
\[
\text{to} \quad \text{moto} \quad \text{ma-ye’e} \quad \text{ma} \quad \text{Peru}
\]
\[
\text{ART.NH} \quad \text{motorcycle} \quad 3\text{M-GPN} \quad \text{ART.M} \quad \text{Pedro}
\]
‘Pedro’s motorcycle’ elicited
In this construction, ye’e is a noun:
- It obligatorily takes a prefix agreeing with its possessor.
- It can take a plural suffix. (46)
- It can be preceded by a determiner. (47)(48)
- It can take nominal irrealis -(i)na (the only TAME marker that is distinct for N and V). (47)
- It can be used without an overt noun for the possessee. (48)
- It can be verbalized. (49)

(46) ene mojomo-on=tse=ro tata j-ma-ni p-yep-ono paku
and mangy-PL=contrast=then Sir DEM-NH.PL-PROX 2SG-GPN-PL dog
‘But there are mangy (they have scabies), Sir, your dogs here.’ T10.014

(47) Poreso nuti m-woo’o to p-nok-gi-a
therefore 1SG 1SG-want ART.NH 2SG-leave-ACT-IRR

to p-yep-na rekuerdo
ART.NH 2SG-GPN-IRR souvenir
‘That’s why I want you to leave your souvenir.’ T38.012

(48) s-echti-k=ri’i to s-yep=yo esu.
3F-cut-ACT=IPFV ART.NH 3F-GPN=FUT 3F
‘She hurried to cut hers (her share).’ T27.031

(49) ene p-ko-y’e to awariente?
and 2SG-VZ-GPN ART.NH alcohol
‘And do you have alcohol? ’ T30.078

Table 4. Differences between ye’e as a preposition and ye’e as a GPN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ye’e allomorph of PREP</th>
<th>ye’e GPN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>never followed by an NP</td>
<td>generally followed by an NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not preceded by a determiner</td>
<td>preceded by a determiner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oblique meaning</td>
<td>no specific meaning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The preposition allomorph ye’e plausibly has a nominal origin.

**Diachronic hypothesis 3: The preposition e ~ ye’e has grammaticalized from a ye’e noun.**

- Scenario for grammaticalization: the genitive construction as a source construction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>genitive phrase</th>
<th>prepositional phrase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(DET) 3-yep’n</td>
<td>3-e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possessee</td>
<td>PREP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possessor</td>
<td>O of PREP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2. Comparison of prepositional phrase with genitive phrase**

The preposition is a possible reflex of an obligatorily prefixed noun in a genitive construction.
NB: There was no articles in Old Mojeño (Rose, 2013b).

- **Decategorialization** (Heine & Kuteva, 2002): preposition has lost most nominal morphology
  - except the person prefix (inflected preposition)
  - and TAME (rare)

- **Semantic bleaching**?
  - Semantics of the original noun?
    - Very generic
    - Olza (Mojeño Ignaciano, Olza 2002: 858): a general noun "propriety of, object of, thing".
    - Cognate forms in other Arawak lggs (for example le’jé relational noun in Yukuna (Lemus Serrano, 2015); -ye locative postposition in Baure also incorporated in the verb (Danielsen, 2007))
  - Rather seems to have gained some meaning
    - ‘Oblique’ meaning
    - Underspecified
    - Interpreted depending on lexical verb and context

- **Phonetic reduction**
  - Could be explained by frequency (context 2 more frequent)
  - *ye’e is a non-final position within a prosodic phrase (Context 2): apocope
  - Influence of Spanish *de*?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stage 1</th>
<th>Stage 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Context 1</td>
<td>1/2/3-ye’e</td>
<td>1/2/3-ye’e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context 2</td>
<td>3-ye’e NP</td>
<td>3-e NP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 3. Hypothesis of reduction of ye’e into e*
Figure 4. Schema of possible grammaticalization from noun to preposition

- Same scenario accounts for the current use of locative relational nouns (see Section 3.2)
  - *te* + relational noun + NP $\rightarrow$ complex preposition + N

(50) \[ \text{t-e } \text{ta-ju'e } \text{p-jo } \text{peti} \]
\[ 3\text{NH-PREP } 3\text{NH-interior DEM-NH.SG house} \]
\[ \text{‘Within the house.’ [Answer to the question: Where is the dog?] Loc}_C_71 \]

  - Some of these nouns can be incorporated in the verb (could start of new cycle
  where prepositions turn into valency-changing derivation)

(51) \[ \text{n-sio-mopeku-’o } \text{t-e } \text{to } \text{mesa} \]
\[ 2\text{SG-enter-below-ACT } 3\text{NH-PREP ART.NH table} \]
\[ \text{‘I went below the table.’ elicited} \]
5. The development of the Mojeño Trinitario preposition in a *te* subordinator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The preposition <em>e</em> introduces verbs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• In structures on a continuum from very prototypical noun phrases to subordinate clauses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Only the form <em>te</em> of the preposition is selected as a subordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Non-human is used for abstract elements (therefore propositional content)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o <em>te</em> is the allomorph used when the preposition is followed by a complement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Typologically common that the same form is used as a adposition and a subordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o 5 types identified in Rose (2006) along a continuum between prototypical subordination (with a finite clause) (52) and prototypical adpositional phrases (with the dependent clause having features typical of NPs) (53).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(52) *French West Indies Creole (Hazaël-Massieux, 2004)*

a. dépi bonmatin-la-sa

*since* morning-DEF-DEM

‘Since this morning,…’

b. i ka palé-moin dépi moin ka palé-li.

3 IPFV speak-1SG *since* 1SG IPFV speak-3

‘He speaks to me since I speak to him.’

Polyfunctionality: the element introduced by the adposition can be clearly identified as either an NP or a dependent clause.

(53) *Lezgi (Haspelmath, 1993 ex 539, 1080)*

a. Xatimat [k’wal *patal] qhsan dis ehlitir.

Xatimat house *for* good woman COP:PST

‘Xatimat was a good woman for the house.’


he-DAT Ali stay-MSD *for* what do-FUT-CND know-IPFV-NEG-PST

‘He didn’t know what to do in order for Ali to stay.’

An adposition introducing a noun phrase in both cases, only the part of speech of the lexical root of the head differs.

  o Intermediate cases:
  - Adposition + non-finite clauses
  - Adposition + finite clauses marked by a dependency marker (on the verb, or at clause boundary)
  - Adposition + finite clauses with a “translatif substantivisant” (Lemaréchal, 1994), or “nominal marker”
    = a marker necessary for either a nominal element or a clause to be used as an argument, or as an object of adposition
(54) **Tahitian (Vernaudon, cp; see analysis in Vernaudon & Rigo, 2004)**

- **a.** Ua fiu roa ‘o na i te i’a.
  
  **ACC** tired.of very **3SG PREP ART** fish
  
  ‘He is tired of fish’

- **b.** Ua fiu roa ‘o na i te ‘amu i te i’a.
  
  **ACC** tired.of very **3SG PREP ART eat PREP ART** fish
  
  ‘He is tired of eating fish’

**A unique function as adposition:** the « translative » turns clauses into nominal elements.

- **Mojeño Trinitario:** very frequent use of *te* for complement clauses and different semantic types of adverbial subordination (see the variety of meanings of examples (55) to (60))

- **4 different constructions:**
  - Typical subordinate clauses (finite verb form, no determiner)
    
    (55) *te p-itekp-*$*$=po p-iimui-gi-a*
    
    **SUB** 2SG-arrive-IRR=PFV 2SG-dance-IRR
    
    ‘When you arrive, you will have to dance.’ T8.041

  - Typical noun phrases: verbs nominalized with determiner and nominalizer
    
    (56) *t-itekpo-m=**$po t-e to na-kaa=s-s=rare*
    
    3-arrive-PL=PFV **3NH-PREP ART.NH 3PL-hunt-ACT-HAB.OBL.NZ**
    
    ‘They arrived where they always hunt.’ T19.004

  - Less typical noun phrases: verbs nominalized with a nominalizer only (no determiner)
    
    (57) *t-e ni=$*$i-pui-s-ri=rine=jich=eji*
    
    **3NH-PREP** 3M-walk-ACT-EV.NZ=RESTR=INTENS=RPT
    
    ‘Walking so much (**because** he walked so much), he went very far away.’ T19.59

  - “Substantivized clauses”: clauses nominalized with a determiner only (finite verb form). The determiner serves as a nominal marker for the whole clause
    
    (58) *ene n-naekch-i’-wo=o’i t-e to n-ipruj-ri-k=**$po*
    
    there 1SG-start-APPL-MID=IPFV **3NH-PREP** ART.NH 1SG-cure-PLURAC-ACT=PFV
    
    ‘There I started to cure (people).’ T12.017

- **Substantivized clauses:** clauses nominalized with a determiner only (finite verb form). The determiner serves as a nominal marker for the whole clause
  
  (59) *t-e to p-a-wro’o to p-eta$*$i-gi=$*$a-nu*
  
  **3NH-PREP ART.NH 2SG-IRR-want ART.NH 2SG-pass-ACT-IRR-1SG**
  
  ‘If you want to pass me, you have to dance now.’ T19.057
How does the finite type of dependent clause emerge?

- (Re)Finitization: acquisition of morphosyntactic finiteness features by a dependent construction (Givón, 1994; Rose, 2016).
  - Gradual finitization

Heine (2009): diachronic scenario of clause expansion = reinterpretation of a nominal participant as a propositional participant + invasion of the nominal structure by clausal syntax

Table 5. Clause expansion (Heine, 2009)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1 – The extended noun</td>
<td>The complement is filled by a non-finite clause, with the internal structure of a noun phrase.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2 – Mixed morphosyntax</td>
<td>The nominal structure is gradually invaded by a clausal syntax.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3 – Clausal syntax with nominal relics</td>
<td>The complement clause is now a fully-fledged subordinate clause, with relics of nominal morphosyntax.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4 – The fully-fledged complement clause</td>
<td>The complement clause is indistinguishable in its morphosyntax from a finite main clause.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

see also Givón (2016)’s Scenario 1 (with functional distinction); called “gradual finitization” by Rose (2016)

- Instantaneous finitization

Heine (2009): finite complement clauses can also emerge from clause integration, that is to say a copying of a finite construction in the complement position, without necessarily supposing a previous nominal construction.

see also Harris and Campbell (1995: 312); Givón (2016)’s Scenario 2; called “instantaneous finitization” by Rose (2016)

- Mojeño Trinitario illustrates instantaneous finitization (Rose, 2016).
  - No intermediate construction with mixed morphosyntax, leaving no evidence of prior nominalization of these innovative finite dependent clauses

Diachronic hypothesis: The reanalysis of te as a subordinator results from the extension of finiteness into dependent clauses.
prototypical PREP

\[
\text{te} + \text{non human NP} \quad \text{extension / analogy}
\]

\[
\text{te} + (\text{ART}) + \text{Nominalized V} \quad \text{finitization (by extension)}
\]

prototypical SUB

\[
\text{te} + (\text{ART}) + \text{Finite V}
\]

Figure 5. Schema of possible development from preposition to subordinator

6. Summary

- a ‘semantically universal’ preposition in Mojeño Trinitario
- an inflected preposition
- homophonous with a generic possessive noun
- also used introducing verbs
- in a variety of ‘dependent clauses’ types (nominalized or not, with or without a determiner)
- Diachronic hypotheses on the preposition:
  - grammaticalized from a relational noun, in the genitive construction
  - reanalyzed as a subordinator, through instantaneous finitization of dependent clauses

N \hspace{1cm} 1/2/3-ye’e

\[
\hspace{1cm} (\text{DET}) \ 3\text{-ye’e NP}
\]

\[
\hspace{1cm} \text{use in genitive construction}
\]

\[
\hspace{1cm} \text{grammaticalization}
\]

PREP \hspace{1cm} \text{te+ NP}

\[
\text{te} + (\text{DET}) + \text{Nominalized clause}
\]

\[
\text{finitization (by extension)}
\]

SUB \hspace{1cm} \text{te} + (\text{DET}) + \text{Finite clause}

Figure 6. Schema of possible origin and development of preposition e
7. Take-home message

1. Adpositions can grammaticalize from both nouns and verbs in the same language
2. Adpositions can develop into subordinators, whatever their origin
3. Cycles of “adverbial markers” (case, relational nouns, oblique classifiers) that integrate verbal morphology as valency-changing morphemes, which may lead to need for new adpositions

Abbreviations

♂ male speaker; ♀ female speaker; ACC accusative; ACT active; APPL applicative; ART article; CAUS causative; CLF classifier; CND conditional; CONJ conjunction; COP copula; DEM demonstrative; CONC.MOT concomitant motion; DAT dative; DIST distal; EMP empathy; EV.NZ event nominalizer; EXI existential; F feminine (singular); FUT future; GPN generic possessive noun; HAB.OBL.NZ habitual oblique nominalizer; HES hesitation marker; INTENS intensifier; IPFV imperfective; IRR irrealis; LOC locative; M masculine (singular); MID middle; MSD masdar; NEG negation; NH non-human; NPSD non-possessed; NVIS non-visual evidence; PFV perfective; PL plural; PLURACT pluractional; PST past; POT.LOC potential location; PREP preposition; PRO pronoun; PROX proximal; RESTR restrictive; RPT reportative; SG singular; SUB subordinator; VZ verbalizer.
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