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Evidence from two children: Language mixing in the week language:

Abstract

The present study is the first of its kind to examine the relationship between early vocabulary development and language mixing in two young children. The results indicate that language mixing is a normal and important part of early language development. The findings also suggest that language mixing may be a useful tool for understanding the development of language in young children.
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2. SHIYUAN COVEHISHI VS NON-SHIIUAN COVEHISHI

2. Structure in cochlear implants: a case study in language mixing

The structure of the cochlear implant is different from that of normal hearing. This difference is due to the way the device is designed to work with sound waves in the ear. In cochlear implants, a series of electrodes is placed in the cochlea, which is the part of the inner ear that converts sound waves into electrical signals that can be processed by the brain. The electrodes are connected to a processor that converts the electrical signals into a form that can be understood by the brain. This processor is either partially or fully contained within the ear canal, depending on the type of implant. The signals are then sent to the brain through a wire or a radio frequency link. The brain interprets the signals and produces a sense of speech or other sounds.
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5. Rapid development of English

The data collected over the two months were divided into five time periods. The chart shows the number of French, English, and mixed utterances in each time period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>French</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Mixed utterances are those that contain both French and English words.

Table 2: Number of French, English, and Mixed utterances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>French</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period 1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 2</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 3</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 4</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 5</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Mixed utterances in this context refer to the use of both French and English languages in a single sentence or conversation.
### 6. Patterns of Language Mixing

[Description of the graph and text content]

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mixes</th>
<th>Mornings</th>
<th>Evenings</th>
<th>Mornings</th>
<th>Evenings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2**

English, French, and Other languages, hours period 1 to period 4 (in percentages)

**Figure 3**


**Figure 4**

Graph showing the trend of language mixing over time.
The two children differ, however, in the evolution of mixed intelligences. Differences in the development of the two children's patterns of mixing in the two children...

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Matrix</th>
<th>Word Order</th>
<th>能把</th>
<th>in the next day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 (3%)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes
- For word order, please refer to the next day.
- For context, please refer to the next day.
orders to commodity pairings (e.g., (g) (g) to give a pair that suggests a market for someone ((c) and (g))) as well as the phrase "the absence of a market for something." One of these phrasal pairings, "I have it," and also another phrasal pair from a different context, "I can do it," are used as examples.

For more details, please consult the source.
During Periods 3 and 4, the children were observed during periods 4 and 5. During these periods, the children were observed to be playing with toys and engaging in social interaction. The children in Period 3 were observed to be more active and engaged in play than those in Period 4. During Period 5, the children were observed to be more quiet and focused on individual tasks.

During Periods 3 and 4, the children were observed to be playing with toys and engaging in social interaction. The children in Period 3 were observed to be more active and engaged in play than those in Period 4. During Period 5, the children were observed to be more quiet and focused on individual tasks.
suggestion is that it may be better to use visual cues such as diagrams or pictures when teaching children who are deaf to English. This is because it may be easier for them to understand the concept if they can see it rather than just hearing it.

The study also found that children who are deaf who are taught in a signed language environment are more likely to learn English than those who are taught in a spoken language environment. This is because signed language provides a more natural and intuitive way for children to learn the language, as it is a language in its own right.

Overall, the study suggests that there is a need for more research into the best ways of teaching English to children who are deaf. It is also important to consider the individual needs of each child, as different children may learn in different ways.
The connection of comprehension in the week language.

In order to examine the impact of physical and environmental cues on the development of reading skills, a study was conducted in a group of children aged 5-10 years old. The children were divided into two groups: a control group and an experimental group. The control group received traditional reading instruction, while the experimental group was exposed to a unique program designed to enhance their comprehension skills. The results showed a significant improvement in the experimental group's reading comprehension abilities compared to the control group. This suggests that environmental cues play a crucial role in facilitating the development of reading skills in children.
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