Emergence of syllable structure from a coupled oscillator model of intergestural timing

Louis Goldstein
Department of Linguistics
Yale University
and
Haskins Laboratories

Articulatory phonology: units
(Browman & Goldstein, 1992; 1995a)
- Act of speaking can be decomposed into atomic units of vocal tract constriction action, or gestures.
- Properties
  - Macroscopic: Gestures are discrete and can function as units of information (contrast and combination).
  - Microscopic: Continuous, context-dependent motion of articulators and sound unfolds lawfully from pattern of temporally overlapping gestures.

Phonology: combinatorial system of speech units
- Phonology
  - Discrete, context-independent speech units recombine to create the word-forms of language.
  - What are the primitive units?
  - What is the glue that holds them together in word-forms?
- Articulatory Phonology
  - Goal is to attempt to find answers to these questions
  - Both phonological and physical properties emerge lawfully from a common representation.

Articulatory Phonology: glue
- What is the glue that holds gestural atoms together in the appropriate patterns?
- Answer should account for observed regularities of gestural combination (properties syllable structure).

Syllable Structure: regularities of gestural combination
- Macroscopic (Phonological)
  - Onsets and rimes exhibit relatively free combination in most languages.
  - Other combinatorial possibilities are typically more limited:
    - Nuclei and codas
    - C’s within onsets and and within codas
  - CV syllables are unmarked.
- Microscopic (Physical)
  - Relative timing of consonants in an onset cluster is more stable (less variable) than in a coda cluster.
  - Timing of consonants to the vowel varies as additional consonants are added to an onset, but not to a coda (in English).

Outline
1. Gestures as discrete units
2. Coupling model of planning intergestural timing
3. Coupling model and syllable structure
What makes gestures discrete?

- distinct organs
- within-organ differentiation into distinct modes
- abstract (task) dynamical description

Discrete differentiation of within-organ action

Gestures of a given organ can be differentiated by the degree and location of the constriction goal.

Articulators move continuously in time during a constriction action.

Where is the discrete unit in this continuous change?

Discreteness in time: Dynamical systems

Between- vs. within-organ differentiation

- View predicts that systematic differentiation of an organ’s constriction goals are acquired later than systematic use of distinct organs themselves. (Studdert-Kennedy, 2002; Goldstein, 2003)
- Infant must attune to the environment to develop within-organ modes.
- Preliminary support using perception of infant productions
  - Goldstein (2003), Son (in prep)

Task Dynamics (Saltzman, 1985; 1995)

- Constriction formation can be modeled as a (time)-invariant dynamical system that achieves a goal (task):
  - e.g., LA (distance between the lips) is task goal variable.
- Form of continuous motion over time emerges from the dynamical specification of active gestures.
- Context-dependence emerges from temporal overlap of invariant dynamical units
  - Invariant dynamics at the task level shapes the time-varying, context-dependent dynamics at lower levels of the system (articulators and muscles).
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**From gestures to words: glue**
- Word forms are molecules composed of multiple gestures.
- Relative timing of gesture activation is significant information and can be displayed in a gestural score.

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VEL</th>
<th>TT</th>
<th>TB</th>
<th>LIPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

“mad” “ban”

What is the glue that coordinates them appropriately?

**Coupling modes hypothesis**
- Gestures are coordinated by dynamically coupling the timing of pairs of gestures to one another.
- Coupled dynamical systems harbor multiple (intrinsically) stable modes.
- Coordination of gestures exploits these stable modes (as much as possible): Kelso, Saltzman & Tuller, 1986.
- Properties of syllable structure (both microscopic and macroscopic) can be explained in terms of these modes.
  - e.g., Hierarchical structure of syllables is not itself glue but is the consequence of combining gestures using stable coupling modes.

**Entrainment in human bimanual coordination**
- Limbs that start out oscillating at slightly different frequencies will entrain in:
  - frequency
  - phase

**Hierarchical syllable structure as glue?**
- Gestures can be organized into hierarchical segment and syllable structures.
- They encode the macroscopic properties of syllable structure (e.g. relative independence between an and e).
- But they cannot account for microscopic properties in a general and principled way (timing and stability of timing).
- or address how these properties could have emerged.

**Coupled Dynamical Systems: entrainment**
- After Pikovsky et al 2001

**Stable phase-locking modes for limb coordination**
- Spontaneously available phase-locks
  - 0° (in phase) most stable
  - 180° (anti-phase)
- Other phase locks can be learned (with difficulty).
- Abrupt transitions to most stable mode (0°) as frequency increases
  (Haken, Kelso & Bunz, 1985)
Planning intergestural timing
(Nam, Saltzman & Goldstein)

- Planning can be modeled as kind of internal repetition.
  - Each gesture corresponds to an oscillator.
  - Oscillators are coupled pair-wise to one another (according to a coupling graph) so as to achieve a target relative phase.
  - During (internal) repetition, coupling causes oscillators to settle at stable relative phases (Saltzman & Byrd, 2000).
  - Final relative phases can be used to trigger gestural activation (as shown in the gestural score).
- Coupling graph for an utterance
  - specifies how pairs of gestures are coupled to one another (target relative phases).
  - Properties of syllable structure emerge as consequences of this graph.

Modes in Coupling Graphs: C and V gestures

- If a consonant (C) gesture and a vowel (V) gesture are to be coordinated in an intrinsically stable mode, there are just two possibilities:
  - in-phase
    - hypothesized for C-V (onset relation) most stable
  - anti-phase
    - hypothesized for V-C (coda relation)
- Distinct C-V and V-C modes have been hypothesized has far back as Stetson (1951)
  - [more recently, Fullter & Kelso, 1991; DeJong (2001)]
  - Here implications are followed for a theory of syllable structure

Explaining combinatorial properties of syllables

- Hypothesis: Combinatorial freedom of gestures is possible just where intergestural coordination exploits the most stable mode of coupling.
  - As long as gestures are coupled in the most stable mode, any gesture can be combined with any other.
  - With less stable (or non-intrinsically stable modes), specific phasings may have to be learned, so free combination is less likely.

Evidence for C-V and V-C modes

C and V gestures are in phase
V and C gestures are anti-phase

Predictions

- Onset C gestures should combine freely with V gestures, (which can explain free combinatoriality of onsets and rimes).
- Coda C gestures are in a less stable mode with V s, and therefore there should be increased dependency between V and final C.
- Within-onset and within-coda consonant coordination may employ non-intrinsically stable modes.
  - specific couplings must be learned
  - acquired late
  - typically small numbers of combinations
**C and V gesture valences**

- C and V gestures are differentiated by
  - degree of constriction (V is wider)
  - dynamic stiffness (V takes longer to get to target)
  - activation interval (V still active after C released)
- Nature of these differences is such that C and V gestures can be in phase (at onset) and still be both be recoverable by listeners (Martiny, 1981).
- These gestural properties, together with the stability of in-phase coupling gives rise to **valence** of C and V gestures -- they combine freely with each other in C-V structures.

**Alternative: gestural synchrony and articular constraint**

- Some problems with jaw oscillation only theory for infants:
  - Preferred patterns occur more frequently than expected by chance, but many other combinations also are produced.
  - Adult languages show similar trends, but we know adults do more than oscillate the jaw -- C and V can be independent.
- Alternative Hypothesis:
  - While gestures in CV are hypothesized to be triggered synchronously, some CV combinations do not afford articular synchrony between C and V gestures, due to intrinsic constraints of the gestures themselves (e.g., Recasens, Solé) or their recoverability.
  - The most frequent combinations are those in which the articulatory synchrony matches synchrony in gestural triggering.

**Specific model of modes and additional predictions**

- A potential function has been found to characterize qualitative features of coupled oscillatory systems (Haken, Kelso & Bunz, 1985).
  - Two local minima (0°, 180°)
  - Vi for local minima = -b cos(Φ) - c sin(2 Φ)
- Modeled results of many experiments on interlimb coordination
  - In-phase attractor is wider and deeper

**Biases in CV combinations**

- Grammatically, onset C and V combine freely in many languages (e.g., English).
- However, MacNeilage and Davis (2000) have found there are statistical biases in C-V combinations in the lexicons in a sample of 10 languages
- Combinations occurring with greater than chance frequency:
  - Coronal vs front Vs
  - Labials with central Vs
  - Dorsials with back Vs
- They hypothesize that infants are only oscillating their jaws.

**Acquisition of CV vs. VC**

- Infants develop CV syllables before VC (in all languages).
- Self-organization model for phase leaning that incorporates HKB coupling function (Nam).
Self-organization model
(after Oudeyer, 2003)

- Computational units are slightly attracted to the experienced phase value ("tuning or learning")

Results
- Over time, the child acquires the distribution of phases in adult model.
- But regardless of proportion of CV vs. VC in the adult model, the CV mode develops earlier than the VC mode.

Onsets composed of multiple gestures
- If onset is defined by an in-phase relation between C gesture and V, then all onset C gestures should be synchronous with V (and therefore with each other).
- Combinations of a clo or crit gesture (stop or fricative) with a wider gesture allow recoverability of both gestures even when synchronized.
- This result is a segment (e.g., nasal or aspirated stop).
- Combinations of multiple clo or crit gestures present recoverability problems if synchronous.
- Gestures must be at least partially sequential (cluster).
- What makes them all part of the onset?

Competitive coupling hypothesis (Browman & Goldstein, 2000)
- Specifications in the coupling the coupling graph are abstract and can compete with one another
- C-V coupling
  - All C gestures in an onset are coupled in-phase with the V.
- C-C coupling
  - C gestures are also coupled sequentially (anti-phase or 7)
- Observed coordination should reveal the presence of both couplings ("c-center" effect).
- V onset occurs midway between the onsets of the Cs

Evidence for competitive coupling in onset: “pea spots”
Competitive coupling model

- Generalization of phase planning model to accommodate multiple, competing \( \psi \) (Nam & Saltzman, 2003).
- Coupling graph hypothesized for onsets:
  \[
  \begin{align*}
  C & \rightarrow C \\
  V & \rightarrow C
  \end{align*}
  \]
- Oscillators still settle into stable patterns (but \( \psi \) will not be all be achieved if they are in competition).
- Output phasing consistent with C-center is obtained.

Clusters in onset vs. coda

- Onset is in-phase relation between \( C \) gestures and \( V \).
- Coda is anti-phase relation:
  - between \( V \) and \( C \)
  - among \( C \) gestures in coda
  - Only weak attraction expected of multiple \( C \)'s to anti-phase relation to \( V \).

Onset: \( C \rightarrow C \) \( \rightarrow V \) \( \rightarrow C \) Coda

Language-particular coupling grammars

- Differences in topology of coupling graphs:
  - Modes provide preferences, but ultimately, coupling graphs must be learned.
  - Different V-C coupling in VC light vs. heavy.
  - Different coupling of oral constrictions and velum in coda.
- Language differences in coupling graphs could be modeled as resulting from different constraint rankings:
  - Gafos (2002)
  - Nam (2004)
    - max (zero-coordination) [in-phase]
    - min (NON-zero-coordination) [other phase targets]

Example: /spæt/

Onset vs. coda: microscopic consequences

- Coda clusters do not regularly show C-center (Byrd, 1995; Honorof & Browman, 1995).
- Predicted by coupling graph–no coupling between \( C \) and \( V \).
- Timing between \( C \) gestures is more stable in onset clusters than in coda clusters (Byrd, 1996).
- Adding noise to intergestural coupling model results in more \( C-C \) variability in coda than in onsets, due to multiplicity of specified couplings in onset.

Language differences in coupling strength

- In a competitive model, coupling strengths (potential well depth) can differ for different links.
- Language differences in relative coupling strength:
  - Georgian initial clusters (Chitoran, Goldstein & Byrd, 2002)
    - more separation in time than English clusters (\( C-C > C-V \))
    - more separation in back-to-front order than front-to-back.
  - May yield qualitative differences, depending on nature of competitive model
    - linear vs. non-linear (strict dominance)
Summary and Prospects

A competitive, coupled oscillator model for planning intergestural timing may be able to account for several microscopic and macroscopic properties related to syllable structure.

Future Directions:
1. Modelling of multisyllabic utterances
2. Development of an explicit model that takes account of intrinsic articulatory constraints in modulating relative timing of gestures
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